RETURN COMPLETED FORM TO:

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR AVIATION MANAGEMENT 300 E. MALLARD DRIVE, SUITE 200 BOISE, IDAHO 83706-3991

Facsimile No. 208-433-5030 * Questions, call 208-433-5022

WILD HORSE & BURRO PROJECTS ONLY

CONTRACTOR EVALUATION REPORT FOR AN INDIVIDUAL PROJECT

SOURCE SELECTION INFORMATION – NOT FOR PUBLIC RELEASE (see FAR 3.104& 42.1503)										
BUREAU	BLM			CONTR	RACT NO	. <i>'</i>	1406-06-80			
ADDRESS	CONTR	CONTRACTOR								
CITY/STATE/ZIP	USE PE	USE PERIOD								
BUREAU ON-SITE REPRESENATIVE	USE LOCATION									
DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT SERVICES PROVIDED: Census Capture (gather, herding, etc.)										
1.a. Target number and type	of animal to be cap	otured etc.:	🗆 Но							
1.b. Actual number of anima	1.c. Actua	1.c. Actual flight time required to accomplish the project								
1.d. Number of animals injured		1.e. Number requiring e		ls			1.f. Number of humans injured			
Explain										
1.g. Were there any aircraft incidents/accidents, etc. ☐ YES ☐ NO 1.h. Was a Safecom submitted for any reason ☐ YES ☐ NO										
Explain										
Please circle the number from each scale that best describes the level in which the Contractor supported the area described. Comments are helpful and substantiate either very high or very low ratings. If additional space is needed, please use page 2 of this form or attach additional page(s). A copy of this evaluation report is provided to the Contractor and may also be used in future evaluations of the Contractor's past performance. (N/A means: Not applicable)										
2. Did the Contractor commit adequate resources in a timely fashion to meet the project requirements. (replacement equipment if needed; financial resources to purchase fuel, lodging; maintenance support if needed, etc.)										
Abundant resources provide	d 7 6	5 5	4	3 2	1	N/A	Insufficient resou	rces provided		
Comments	·									
3. Was the Contractor's representative(s) sensitive to the nature and rationale for the project										
Extremely sensitive	7 6	5	4 3	3 2	1	N/A	Lacked sensitivity	<i>y</i>		
Comments										

4. Contractor's representatives' knowledgeable of target animal's behavior and processes to be used for the project										
Highly knowledgeable	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	N/A	Lacked	l knowledge
Comments										
5. Was the project accomplished efficiently with animal well-being addressed as desired by the user										
Extremely efficient and concerned for animal well-being	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	N/A		ient and lacked concern for well-being
Comments										
6. Contractor's adherence to contract and project technical requirements; i.e. pilot flight and duty limitations, use of PPE, no use of toe-in, single skid landings unless bureau waiver to policy was in place, correct fueling procedures, etc.										
Contract/project technical adherence very high level	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	N/A		ct/project technical nce very low
Comments										
7. Contractor and Contractor's on-site representatives attitude and efforts, as well as actual application, towards aircraft safety										
Extremely safety oriented and actions demonstrated same	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	N/A		compromises in both
Comments										
8. If a trainee pilot was utilized, did this affect the overall project accomplishment										
Project not compromised due to use of trainee pilot	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	N/A		trainee pilot affected
Comments										
9. Contractor's overall performance	and qua	lity of so	ervice							
Extremely high quality of service and performance	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	N/A	Poor quality of service and performance	
Comments										
10. If given the opportunity, would you hire this Contractor again to accomplish a similar project? ★ □ YES □ NO										
Additional comments to support your response to Item 10 and any other items requiring additional space.										
Name and Title of Individual Completing this Form										
Signature				Telephone Number					Date	